## Machine Learning on graphs. Node classification

I. Makarov & I.E. Zhukov

### BigData Academy MADE from Mail.ru Group

#### **Network Science**



#### Lecture outline


- Node Classification
  - Label propagation and iterative classification
- Semi-supervised learning
  - Random walk based methods. Regularization
- Matrix Factorization

### Graph machine learning

- Node classification (attribute inference)
- Link prediction (missing/hidden links inference)
- Community detection (clustering nodes in graph)
- Graph visualization (cluster projections)

#### Node classification

- Node classification labeling of all nodes in a graph structure
- Subset of nodes is labeled: categorical/numeric/binary values
- Extend labeling to all nodes on the graph (class/class probability/regression)
- Classification in networked data, network classification, structured inference, relational learning



#### Node classification

- Structure can help only if labels/values of linked nodes are correlated
- Social networks show assortative mixing bias in favor of connections between network nodes with similar characteristics:
  - homophily: similar characteristics  $\rightarrow$  connections
  - influence: connections → similar characteristics
- Can apply to constructed (induced) similarity networks
- Node classification by label propagation

#### Node classification

#### Supervised learning approach

- Given graph nodes  $V = V_I \cup V_u$ :
  - nodes  $V_I$  given labels  $Y_I$
  - nodes  $V_{ii}$  do not have labels
- Need to find Y<sub>µ</sub>
- Labels can be binary, multi-class, real values
- Features (attributes) can be computed for every node  $\phi_i$ :
  - local node features (if available)
  - link features available (labels from neighbors, attributes from neighbors, node degrees, connectivity patterns)

### Iterative relational classifiers

Weighted-vote relational neighbor classifier:

$$P(y_i = c | \mathcal{N}_i) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} A_{ij} P(y_j = c | \mathcal{N}_j)$$

Network only Naive Bayes classifier:

$$P(y_i = c|\mathcal{N}_i) = \frac{P(\mathcal{N}_i|c)P(c)}{P(\mathcal{N}_i)}$$

where

$$P(\mathcal{N}_i|c) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i \in \mathcal{N}_i} P(y_i = \hat{y}_j|y_i = c)$$

### Semi-supervised learning

- Graph-based semi-supervised learning
- Given partially labeled dataset
- Data:  $X = X_I \cup X_u$ 
  - small set of labeled data  $(X_l, Y_l)$
  - large set of unlabeled data  $X_u$
- Similarity graph over data points G(V, E), where every vertex  $v_i$  corresponds to a data point  $x_i$
- ullet Transductive learning: learn a function that predicts labels  $Y_u$  for the unlabeled input  $X_u$

#### Random walk methods

- ullet Consider random walk with absorbing states labeled nodes  $V_I$
- Probability  $\hat{y}_i[c]$  for node  $v_i \in V_u$  to have label c,

$$\hat{y}_i[c] = \sum_{j \in V_i} p_{ij}^{\infty} y_j[c]$$

where  $y_i[c]$  - probability distribution over labels,  $p_{ij} = P(i \rightarrow j)$  - one step probability transition matrix

- If output requires single label per node, assign the most probable
- In matrix form

$$\hat{Y} = P^{\infty}Y$$
 where  $Y = (Y_I, 0), \; \hat{Y} = (Y_I, \hat{Y}_u)$ 

#### Random walk methods

- Random walk matrix:  $P = D^{-1}A$
- Random walk with absorbing states

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P_{II} & P_{Iu} \\ P_{uI} & P_{uu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ P_{uI} & P_{uu} \end{pmatrix}$$

• At the  $t \to \infty$  limit:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} P^t = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0\\ (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{uu}^n) P_{ul} & P_{uu}^{\infty} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0\\ (I - P_{uu})^{-1} P_{ul} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

### Random walk methods

Matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{Y}_I \\ \hat{Y}_u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ (I - P_{uu})^{-1} P_{ul} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_I \\ Y_u \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution

$$\hat{Y}_{I} = Y_{I} 
\hat{Y}_{u} = (I - P_{uu})^{-1} P_{uI} Y_{I}$$

•  $(I - P_{uu})$  is non-singular for all label connected graphs (is always possible to reach a labeled node from any unlabeled node)

### Label propagation

Algorithm: Label propagation, Zhu et. al 2002

**Input:** Graph G(V, E), labels  $Y_I$ 

Output: labels  $\hat{Y}$ 

Compute 
$$D_{ii} = \sum_{j} A_{ij}$$

Compute 
$$P = D^{-1}A$$

Initialize 
$$Y^{(0)} = (Y_I, 0)$$
, t=0

#### repeat

$$Y^{(t+1)} \leftarrow P \cdot Y^{(t)}$$
  
 $Y^{(t+1)}_l \leftarrow Y^{(t)}_l$ 

until  $Y^{(t)}$  converges;

$$\hat{Y} \leftarrow Y^{(t)}$$

Solution: 
$$\hat{Y} = \lim_{t \to \infty} Y^{(t)} = (I - P_{uu})^{-1} P_{ul} Y_l$$

### Label spreading

Algorithm: Label spreading, Zhou et. al 2004

**Input:** Graph G(V, E), labels  $Y_I$ 

Output: labels  $\hat{Y}$ 

Compute 
$$D_{ii} = \sum_{i} A_{ij}$$
,

Compute 
$$S = D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$$

Initialize 
$$Y^{(0)} = (Y_1, 0), t=0$$

#### repeat

$$Y^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \alpha S Y^{(t)} + (1 - \alpha) Y^{(0)}$$
  
$$t \leftarrow t + 1$$

until  $Y^{(t)}$  converges;

Solution: 
$$\hat{Y} = (1 - \alpha)(I - \alpha S)^{-1}Y^{(0)}$$

### Regression on graphs

Find labeling  $\hat{Y} = (\hat{Y}_l, \hat{Y}_u)$  that

• Consistent with initial labeling:

$$\sum_{i \in V_l} (\hat{y}_i - y_i)^2 = ||\hat{Y}_l - Y_l||^2$$

• Consistent with graph structure (regression function smoothness):

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in V} A_{ij} (\hat{y}_i - \hat{y}_j)^2 = \hat{Y}^T (D - A) \hat{Y} = \hat{Y}^T L \hat{Y}$$

• Stable (additional regularization):

$$\epsilon \sum_{i \in V} \hat{y}_i^2 = \epsilon ||\hat{Y}||^2$$

## Regularization on graphs

Minimization with respect to  $\hat{Y}$ , arg min $_{\hat{Y}}$   $Q(\hat{Y})$ 

• Label propagation [Zhu, 2002]:

$$Q(\hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in V} A_{ij} (\hat{y}_i - \hat{y}_j)^2 = \hat{Y}^T L \hat{Y}, \text{ with fixed } \hat{Y}_I = Y_I$$

• Label spread [Zhou, 2003]:

$$Q(\hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij \in V} A_{ij} \left( \frac{\hat{y}_i}{\sqrt{d}_i} - \frac{\hat{y}_j}{\sqrt{d}_j} \right)^2 + \mu \sum_{i \in V} (\hat{y}_i - y_i)^2$$

$$Q(\hat{Y}) = \hat{Y}^T \mathcal{L} \hat{Y} + \mu ||\hat{Y} - Y||^2$$

$$\mathcal{L} = I - \mathcal{S} = I - D^{-1/2} A D^{-1/2}$$

### Regularization on graphs

Laplacian regularization [Belkin, 2003]

$$Q(\hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij \in V} A_{ij} (\hat{y}_i - \hat{y}_j)^2 + \mu \sum_{i \in V_I} (\hat{y}_i - y_i)^2$$

$$Q(\hat{Y}) = \hat{Y}^T L \hat{Y} + \mu ||\hat{Y}_l - Y_l||^2$$

• Use eigenvectors  $(e_1..e_p)$  from smallest eigenvalues of L = D - A:

$$Le_j = \lambda_j e_j$$

Construct classifier (regression function) on eigenvectors

$$Err(a) = \sum_{i \in V_l} (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^p a_j e_{ji})^2$$

• Predict value (classify)  $\hat{y}_i = \sum_{i=1}^p a_i e_{ji}$ , class  $c_i = sign(\hat{y}_i)$ 

### Laplacian regularization

Algorithm: Laplacian regularization, Belkin and Niyogy, 2003

**Input:** Graph G(V, E), labels  $Y_I$ 

Output: labels  $\hat{Y}$ 

Compute  $D_{ii} = \sum_{j} A_{ij}$ 


Compute L = D - A


Compute p eigenvectors  $e_1...e_p$  with smallest eigenvalues of L,  $Le = \lambda e$ 


Minimize over  $a_1...a_p$ 


arg  $\min_{a_1,...a_p} \sum_{i=1}^{I} (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_j e_{ji})^2$ ,  $a = (E^T E)^{-1} E^T Y_I$ 

Label  $v_i$  by the  $sign(\sum_{j=1}^p a_j e_{ji})$ 









#### Matrix Factorization: Dimension Reduction

The idea of solving node classification lies in decomposing structural and context features from graph for efficient node representation.

- Multidimensional scaling (MDS): Approximating MSE over  $A_{ij} |u_i u_j|_2^2$
- Indexing by latent semantic analysis (LSI): SVD decomposition of A adjacency matrix
- Dimension reduction for A: PCA (principal components analysis),
   LDA (linear discriminant analysis), etc.

from Makarov et al., 20211

<sup>1</sup>https://peerj.com/articles/cs-357/

## Matrix Factorization: Proximity Matrix

Instead of extracting features from A alone, take into account node neighbors in the approximation framework.

A Global Geometric Framework for Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction (**Isomap**)

- Take graph as an input from some metric learning task, for e.g.
- Compute its k-distance matrix by Floyd-Warshall algorithm.
- Use dimension reduction to extract meaningful components.

Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction by Locally Linear Embedding (**LLE**)

$$LLE_{error}(W) = MSE(A - W^{t}U)$$

where U contains neighbors of points from A. In this way, locally, each point is presented as linear combinations of neighbor vector representations.

from Makarov et al., 2021<sup>2</sup>

## Matrix Factorization: Spectral Decomposition

Find eigen-vector decomposition, producing low-dimensional space representation.

Laplacian Eigenmaps and Spectral Techniques for Embedding and Clustering ( $\mathbf{LE}$ )

- Take graph as an input from some metric learning task, and allow heat kernels for weights from features F.
- Solve the equation  $Lx = \lambda Dx$ , L = D A is Laplacian
- $X = (x_1 \cdots x_n)$ ,  $X^t F$  get a low dimension representation.

The goal for Laplacian Eigenmaps class of models lies in preserving first-order similarities giving a larger penalty using graph Laplacian if two nodes with larger similarity are embedded far apart.

Locality Preserving Projections (LPP)

- ullet Take graph as an input from some metric learning task, and allow heat kernels for weights from features F.
- Solve the equation  $FLF^tx = \lambda FDF^tx$ , L = D A is Laplacian
- $X = (x_1 \cdots x_n), X^t F$  get a low dimension representation.

### Matrix Factorization: Second-order proximities

Find eigen-vector decomposition, producing low-dimensional space representation.

Continuous nonlinear dimensionality reduction by kernel eigenmaps (**Kernel Eigenmaps**) present a kernel-based mixture of affine maps from the ambient space to the target space, in which local PCA can be run.

**Cauchy Graph Embedding** enhance the local topology preserving with the similarity relationships of the original data.

Structure Preserving Embedding (SPE) aims to use LE combined with preserving spectral decomposition representing the cluster structure of the graph. SPE is formulated as a semidefinite program that learns a low-rank kernel matrix constrained by a set of linear inequalities which captures the input graph.

**Graph Factorization** minimize  $MSE(A_{ij}, \langle Z_i, Z_j \rangle)$  with  $L_2$  regularization on 'Z' representations.

from Makarov et al., 2021<sup>4</sup>

<sup>4</sup>https://peerj.com/articles/cs-357/

### References

- S. A. Macskassy, F. Provost, Classification in Networked Data: A Toolkit and a Univariate Case Study. Journal of Machine Learning Research 8, 935-983, 2007
- Bengio Yoshua, Delalleau Olivier, Roux Nicolas Le. Label Propagation and Quadratic Criterion. Chapter in Semi-Supervised Learning, Eds.
   O. Chapelle, B. Scholkopf, and A. Zien, MIT Press 2006
- Smriti Bhagat, Graham Cormode, S. Muthukrishnan. Node classification in social networks. Chapter in Social Network Data Analytics, Eds. C. Aggrawal, 2011, pp 115-148
- D. Zhou, O. Bousquet, T. Lal, J. Weston, and B. Scholkopf. Learning with local and global consistency. In NIPS, volume 16, 2004.
- X. Zhu, Z. Ghahramani, and J. Lafferty. Semi-supervised learning using Gaussian fields and harmonic functions. In ICML, 2003.
- M. Belkin, P. Niyogi, V. Sindhwani. Manifold regularization: A geometric framework for learning from labeled and unlabeled examples. J. Mach. Learn. Res., 7, 2399-2434, 2006

#### References

- Kruskal J, Wish M. 1978. Multidimensional Scaling. New York: SAGE Publications
- Deerwester S, Dumais ST, Furnas GW, Landauer TK, Harshman R. 1990. Indexing by latent semantic analysis. Journal of the American Society for Information Science 41(6):391-407
- Martinez AM, Kak AC. 2001. Pca versus Ida. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 23(2):228-233
- Tenenbaum JB, De Silva V, Langford JC. 2000. A global geometric framework for nonlinear dimensionality reduction. Science 290(5500):2319-2323
- Roweis ST, Saul LK. 2000. Nonlinear dimensionality reduction by locally linear embedding. Science 290(5500):2323-2326
- He X, Niyogi P. 2004. Locality preserving projections

### References

- Chung FR, Graham FC. 1997. Spectral graph theory. Rhode Island: American Mathematical Soc. 92
- Belkin M, Niyogi P. 2002. Laplacian eigenmaps and spectral techniques for embedding and clustering
- Brand M. 2003. Continuous nonlinear dimensionality reduction by kernel eigenmaps
- Luo D, Nie F, Huang H, Ding CH. 2011. Cauchy graph embedding
- Shaw B, Jebara T. 2009. Structure preserving embedding
- Ahmed A, Shervashidze N, Narayanamurthy S, Josifovski V, Smola AJ. 2013. Distributed large-scale natural graph factorization